(3)

Ctroot

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 96/03 /2002

PETITIONER : M/s Divakriti Constructions
Through Proprietor Prashant
Divakriti S/o Late Shri T.P.
Diwakriti, aged about 46 years,
R/o Shankar Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).

P. R. No. D-936/02
Presented by Shri S. R. J. Jaspal
dated ..... 16.12.02 .....

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Department of Transport,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building,
Tehsil & District- Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Collector,
Raipur,
Tehsil & District- Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Joint Director,
Town and Country Planning
Department, Tehsil and
District- Raipur (C.G.)

13-12-02

4. The State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through the Department of
Transport, Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.)

5. The Madhya Pradesh State Road
Transport Corporation, Through
Its Managing Director, Bhopal,
Bhopal (M.P.)

6. The Divisional Manager,
M.P.S.R.T.C., Raipur,
Tehsil & District- Raipur (C.G.)

7. The Executive Engineer,
M.P.S.R.T.C. Bhopal,
Bhopal (M.P.)

(4)

WRIT PETITON UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

62

आदेश पत्रक

W.P-No. 96/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25/4/2003 Shri S.R.J. Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner. State by shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier the petitioner was granted contract to raise construction of shops on the land in question, but later on the allotment of the contract was cancelled by the respondent authorities without giving opportunity to the petitioner. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority/respondents within fifteen days from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority/ the respondents shall decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(68)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 96/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

within two months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, it may file the petition afresh challenging the said order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P.100 of 2003 and I.A.455/03 stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge